

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/686,044	10/15/2003		Steven S. Witkin	955-37	4976
23869	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
	IN & BARO	•	YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
SYOSSET, NY 11791				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1643	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	Office Action Summers	10/686,044	WITKIN, STEVEN S.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
		Christopher H. Yaen	1643							
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C	october 2003								
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
3)										
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	on of Claims									
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
_	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>									
	— , and the state of the state									
* 9	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the portified applies not received.									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment	(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (								
2)   Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	ail Date							
Inform (اک Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/686,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

RE: Witkin S.S.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of detecting gynecological cancers in a human comprising the determination of hsp in fluid wherein the presence of hsp in the fluid is indication of gynecological cancers, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
- II. Claims 12-18, drawn to a kit for the detection of gynecological cancers, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons.
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the kit of group II can be used for other diagnostic purposes, such as in a PAP smear.

Searching the inventions of Groups I and II together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Moreover, in the instant case, the search for the kit and the method of detecting gynecological cancers using kit are not coextensive. Group II encompasses molecules which are claimed in terms kit components, which

Art Unit: 1643

are not solely required for the search of Group I. In contrast, the search for group I would require a text search for the method of detecting gynecological cancers in addition to a search for the kit components. Prior art which teaches a components of the kit would not necessarily be applicable to the method of using the components. Moreover, even if the product were known, the method of detecting gynecological cancers using the product may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search any combination of the inventions of Groups I and II together. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Claim 10 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: endometrial, ovarian, cervical, vulvar, fallipoian, and uterine cancers. The species are independent or distinct because each of the species of cancers have different etiologies and pathologies. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant

with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Art Unit: 1643

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/686,044 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Yaen, Examiner Art Unit 1643

February 17, 2006

CHRISTOPHER YAEN
PATENT EXAMINER